

SOME EXAMPLES OF VECTOR BUNDLES IN THE BASE LOCUS OF THE GENERALIZED THETA DIVISOR

SEBASTIAN CASALAINA-MARTIN, TAWANDA GWENA,
AND MONTSERRAT TEIXIDOR I BIGAS

ABSTRACT. These notes show that on the moduli space of semi-stable vector bundles of fixed rank and determinant (of any degree) on a smooth curve of genus at least two, the base locus of the generalized theta divisor is large provided the rank is sufficiently large. It also shows that the base locus is large for positive multiples of the theta divisor. This work extends results already known for the case where the determinant is of degree zero.

INTRODUCTION

Let C be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$ of genus $g(C) = g \geq 2$. For $r, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \geq 1$, there is the moduli space of $\mathcal{U}(r, d)$ of (equivalence classes of) semi-stable vector bundles on C of rank r and degree d , and for a line bundle $L \in \text{Pic}^d(C)$, there is the subspace $S\mathcal{U}(r, L) \subset \mathcal{U}(r, d)$ of vector bundles with determinant L . Note that because of the isomorphisms given by tensoring with a line bundle of degree one

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}(r, d) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{U}(r, d+r) \\ E & \rightarrow & E \otimes L \end{array}$$

there are at most r not necessarily isomorphic moduli spaces. Therefore, when considering a property of such moduli spaces, it suffices to consider the slope modulo integers. Similarly, in the case of fixed determinant, the moduli space is independent of the specific L chosen and depends only on its degree.

Recall that there is an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $S\mathcal{U}(r, L)$, called the determinant line bundle, such that $\text{Pic}(S\mathcal{U}(r, L)) = \mathbb{Z}\langle \mathcal{L} \rangle$ [2]. We will be interested in the base locus of the linear system $|\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$ on $S\mathcal{U}(r, L)$, for positive integers n . When $d = 0$, (or equivalently when r divides d), a great deal is known about this base locus. In [9], Raynaud provided a finite number of vector bundles in the base locus for very high rank with

Date: February 1, 2008.

The first author was partially supported by NSF MSPRF grant DMS-0503228.

respect to the genus. Later, Popa [7] showed that there are positive dimensional families of such examples. Some improvements on those results were obtained in [1], [3]. Finally, [4] gave, at least theoretically, a characterization of all the points in the base locus (see also [5]).

In sharp contrast with the degree zero case, not much has been done for other degrees, the main reason being that a characterization of fixed points did not exist so far. With the strange duality conjecture now proved (see [6]), the situation has changed. In fact, it was already remarked in [7] that Raynaud's examples would allow one to construct a few fixed points in these base loci, given the (at the time still conjectural) Strange Duality Theorem.

Our aim is to generalize these results in two directions: We prove that, for any slope, one can find a positive dimensional subset in the base locus of the theta divisor in suitable rank. We also show that the base loci of $|\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$ with $n > 1$ are often quite large.

Theorem 0.1. *Fix a slope $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}$, and a level $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L \in \text{Pic}^{\mu N}(C)$, the base locus of the linear system $|\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$ on $S\mathcal{U}(N, L)$ is large provided $N \gg 0$ and $g \gg 0$.*

For more precise conditions on N and g , and for estimates on the dimension of the base loci, see Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.9. We point out that Theorem 8.1 in [8] states that on $S\mathcal{U}(N, \mathcal{O}_C)$, $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ is globally generated for $n \geq \lfloor \frac{N^2}{4} \rfloor$, and it has been conjectured to be for $n \geq N - 1$. The bound obtained in these notes is far from this.

The method of proof is similar to that in Gwena-Teixidor [3] and relies on results from that paper, as well as on the Strange Duality isomorphism proven in Marian-Oprea [6]. The idea is to construct explicit examples of vector bundles in the base locus by taking wedge powers of certain vector bundles obtained as the kernel of an evaluation map. The main technical point in the paper is Proposition 2.1 which uses a result of Russo-Teixidor [10] to give general conditions for this process to yield a point in the base locus.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Given $L \in \text{Pic}^d(C)$ and fixing r_F, d_F such that $\mu(\xi \otimes F) = g - 1$ for $\xi \in S\mathcal{U}(r, L)$ and $F \in \mathcal{U}(r_F, d_F)$, then for each $F \in \mathcal{U}(r_F, d_F)$, there is a set

$$\Theta_F = \{\xi \in S\mathcal{U}(r, L) : h^0(\xi \otimes F) > 0\}.$$

Note that the definition of Θ_F depends on the choice of suitable r, L . For simplicity, we do not include a reference to them in the notation for Θ_F . We hope that this will not cause confusion.

For some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $r_F = n \frac{r}{gcd(r,d)}$, and it is well known that if Θ_F is a divisor, then $\Theta_F \in |\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$. The strange duality theorem (Theorem 4 [6] Marian-Oprea) implies that the linear system $|\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$ is spanned by such divisors, and thus the base locus of the linear system is equal to the set

$$\{\xi \in S\mathcal{U}(r, L) : h^0(F \otimes \xi) > 0 \ \forall F \in \mathcal{U}(r_F, d_F)\}.$$

In the following, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$, denote by $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}$ the integral part of α , and set $\lceil \alpha \rceil = \alpha - \lfloor \alpha \rfloor$. A basic observation is the following.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $L \in \text{Pic}^d(C)$, and suppose that on $S\mathcal{U}(r, L)$ the base locus of $|\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$ has dimension b . If $r' \geq r$, $L' \in \text{Pic}^{d'}(C)$, and $\frac{d}{r} - \frac{d'}{r'} \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the base locus of $|\mathcal{L}|$ on $S\mathcal{U}(r', L')$ has dimension at least*

$$b + (r' - r)^2(g - 1) + 1 - \delta_{r,r'},$$

where $\delta_{r,r'}$ is the Kronecker delta. The same is true of the base locus of $|\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$.

Proof. As pointed out in the introduction, all results of this type if true for one slope, are true for all slopes which differ by an integer. In the case that $\frac{d}{r} = \frac{d'}{r'}$, the dimension estimate for the base locus of $|\mathcal{L}|$ follows by considering direct sums of vector bundles of slope $\frac{d}{r}$, and of the appropriate ranks (see [3] Corollary 5.3). The statement for the base locus of $|\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$ follows in the same way. One must check that the numerical conditions in the lemma insure that the level of the theta divisor is unchanged. $\square$

Our goal will be to construct some explicit examples, and then use this lemma to generalize to other cases. In order to do this, we recall the set up and some of the results from Gwena-Teixidor [3]. Given a globally generated vector bundle E on C , we define a vector bundle M_E as the kernel of the evaluation map

$$0 \rightarrow M_E \rightarrow H^0(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 of [3] states that if $d_E - 2r_E g > -g$ and $0 < i < d_E - r_E g$, then the map

$$S\mathcal{U}(r_E, L) \rightarrow S\mathcal{U}\left(\binom{d_E - r_E g}{i}, L^{\otimes \binom{d_E - r_E g - 1}{i-1}}\right)$$

given by $E \mapsto \wedge^i M_E^\vee$ is generically finite. In these notes we will give some conditions that will insure that for each E , $\wedge^i M_E^\vee$ is in the base locus of $|\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$ on $S\mathcal{U}\left(\binom{d_E - r_E g}{i}, L^{\otimes \binom{d_E - r_E g - 1}{i-1}}\right)$ for certain n .

2. EXAMPLES

2.1. General example. The proposition below is the main technical point in these notes. All of the examples that follow will be special cases of this one.

Proposition 2.1. *If $E \in \mathcal{U}(r_E, d_E)$, and $r_F, i \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy the following conditions:*

- (1) $\frac{r_F i d_E}{d_E - r_E g} \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (2) $\frac{g-1}{g} \cdot \frac{d_E - r_E g}{r_E r_F} \geq i \geq g$,

then setting $d_F = r_F(g-1) - \frac{r_F i d_E}{d_E - r_E g}$,

$$\Theta_{\wedge^i M_E^\vee} := \{F \in \mathcal{U}(r_F, d_F) : h^0(F \otimes \wedge^i M_E^\vee) > 0\} = \mathcal{U}(r_F, d_F).$$

Proof. Suppose that the conditions of the proposition are satisfied. To insure that $\wedge^i M_E^\vee$ is well defined, we must check that $d_E - r_E g > i > 0$. Since $\frac{g-1}{g} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{r_E r_F}{d_E - r_E g}} < 1$, this condition follows from condition (2) above. Condition (1) and the definition of d_F imply that for $F \in \mathcal{U}(r_F, d_F)$, $\mu(\wedge^i M_E^\vee \otimes F) = g - 1$.

By virtue of Proposition 5.1 of Gwena-Teixidor [3], given a generic effective divisor D_i of degree i , there is an immersion $\mathcal{O}(D_i) \rightarrow \wedge^i M_E^\vee$. Hence, in order to prove the proposition, it is enough to show that a general vector bundle $F \in \mathcal{U}(r_F, d_F)$ can be written as an extension of vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-D_i) \rightarrow F \rightarrow \overline{F} \rightarrow 0$$

where D_i is a general effective divisor of degree i on C . Since $i \geq g$, it follows that $\mathcal{O}_C(-D_i)$ is a general line bundle of degree $-i$. It is a result of Russo-Teixidor [10] that a general $F \in \mathcal{U}(r_F, d_F)$ can be written as such an extension so long as

$$\mu(\overline{F}) - \mu(\mathcal{O}_C(-D_i)) \geq g - 1.$$

A little arithmetic shows that condition (2) ensures that this is the case. $\square$

We can rephrase this in terms of the slope:

Corollary 2.2. *If $E \in \mathcal{U}(r_E, d_E)$ with $\mu(E) = \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)g$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, and $r_F, i \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy the following conditions:*

- (1) $r_F i \frac{a}{a-b} \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (2) $(g-1)\frac{a}{b} \geq r_F i \frac{a}{a-b} \geq r_F g \frac{a}{a-b}$,

then setting $d_F = r_F(g-1) - r_F i \frac{a}{a-b}$,

$$\Theta_{\wedge^i M_E^\vee} := \{F \in \mathcal{U}(r_F, d_F) : h^0(F \otimes \wedge^i M_E^\vee) > 0\} = \mathcal{U}(r_F, d_F).$$

Proof. Clear. $\square$

Remark 2.3. For later reference, with the same assumptions as in the corollary, $\mu(\wedge^i M_E^\vee) = \frac{ia}{a-b} \equiv \frac{ib}{a-b} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$. Set $r = \text{rank}(\wedge^i M_E^\vee)$, and $d = \deg(\wedge^i M_E^\vee)$. It follows that

$$\frac{r}{\gcd(r, d)} = \frac{a-b}{\gcd(a-b, ia)}.$$

Set

$$n = r_F \cdot \frac{\gcd(a-b, ia)}{a-b}.$$

For any $L \in \text{Pic}^d(C)$ and, as pointed out before, for an appropriate line bundle η on C , it follows that $\wedge^i M_{E \otimes \eta}^\vee \in S\mathcal{U}(r, L)$ is a base point for the linear system $|\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$ on $S\mathcal{U}(r, L)$. In other words, with the assumptions above

$$\wedge^i M_{E \otimes \eta}^\vee \in \Theta_F \subseteq S\mathcal{U}(r, L) \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{U}(r_F, d_F).$$

2.2. Some specific examples. The following corollary shows that there are many ways to satisfy the conditions of the statements in the previous section.

Corollary 2.4. *Fix $r_E, A, b, i' \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following conditions*

- (1) $b | r_E g$
- (2) $\frac{g-1}{b} \geq i' \geq \frac{g}{A}$

Set $d_E = \left(\frac{Ar_F+b}{b}\right) r_E g$, $i = i' A$ and $d_F = r_F(g-1) - i'(Ar_F+b)$. For $E \in \mathcal{U}(r_E, d_E)$,

$$\mu(\wedge^i M_E^\vee) = i' A + \frac{i'b}{r_F} \equiv \frac{i'b}{r_F} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}},$$

and

$$\Theta_{\wedge^i M_E^\vee} := \{F \in \mathcal{U}(r_F, d_F) : h^0(F \otimes \wedge^i M_E^\vee) > 0\} = \mathcal{U}(r_F, d_F).$$

Proof. Set $a = Ar_F + b$ in the previous corollary. $\square$

This proves the following proposition

Proposition 2.5. *Fix $r_E, r_F, A, b, i' \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following conditions*

- (1) $b | r_E g$
- (2) $\frac{g-1}{b} \geq i' \geq \frac{g}{A}$
- (3) $r_F \geq \frac{b}{A}$

Set $n = \gcd(r_F, i'b)$, $r = \left(\frac{Ag}{b} r_F r_E\right)$, $d = r(g-1) - \left(\frac{Ag}{b} r_F r_E\right) \left(i'A + \frac{i'b}{r_F}\right)$, and fix a line bundle $L \in \text{Pic}^d(C)$. On $S\mathcal{U}(r, L)$ the dimension of the base locus of $|\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$ is at least

$$(r_E^2 - 1)(g - 1)$$

Proof. Assumption (3) in the proposition insures that the map

$$S\mathcal{U}(r_E, N) \rightarrow S\mathcal{U}(d_E - r_E g, N^\vee)$$

taking $E \mapsto M_E$ is generically finite (Gwena-Teixidor i Bigas [3]). $\square$

2.3. Base loci theorems.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}$, and suppose there exists numbers $i', r_F \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq i' \leq g - 1$ such that*

$$\mu \equiv \frac{i'}{r_F} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Suppose that $N, r_E \in \mathbb{N}$, $r_E \geq 2$, and

$$N \geq N_0 := \binom{r_F r_E g^2}{i' g}.$$

For $L \in \text{Pic}^{\mu N}(C)$, on $S\mathcal{U}(N, L)$, the base locus of the linear system $|\mathcal{L}|$ has dimension at least

$$((r_E^2 - 1) + (N - N_0)^2)(g - 1) + 1 - \delta_{N, N_0}.$$

The same can be said for the linear system $|\mathcal{L}^{\otimes \gcd(r_F, i')}|$.

Proof. Take $A = g$ and $b = 1$ in Proposition 2.5. $\square$

Remark 2.7. For a fixed curve, the examples in the theorem have slopes in a range determined by the genus. On the other hand, for any given slope $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}$, taking a curve of high enough genus, the theorem implies that the base locus is nonempty provided the rank is sufficiently large. In particular, Theorem 0.1 follows from Theorem 2.6.

Remark 2.8. Observe also that in the notation of Corollary 2.2, condition (2) implies that

$$\frac{g-1}{r_F} \geq \frac{ib}{a-b} \equiv \mu(\wedge^i M_E^\vee) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

This shows that the special cases given in the theorem above are indicative of the types of examples one expects to get using Proposition 2.1.

Fixing slope zero, we can give examples with lower rank and higher level.

Theorem 2.9. *Suppose that $N, r_E \in \mathbb{N}$, $r_E \geq 2$, and*

$$N \geq N_0 := \binom{2g(g-1)r_E}{2(g-1)}.$$

Then on $S\mathcal{U}(N, \mathcal{O}_C)$, the base locus of the linear system $|\mathcal{L}^{\otimes g-1}|$ has dimension at least

$$((r_E^2 - 1) + (N - N_0)^2)(g - 1) + 1 - \delta_{N, N_0}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.9. Take $A = 2$, $b = 1$, and $i' = r_F = g - 1$ in Proposition 2.5. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] D.Arcara, *A lower bound for the dimension of the base locus of the generalized theta divisor*. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 340 (2005), no. 2, 131–134.
- [2] J.M.Drezet, M.S. Narasimhan, *Groupe de Picard des varités de modules de fibres semi-stables sur les courbes algebriques* Invent. Math. **97** (1989), no. 1, 53–94.
- [3] T. Gwena and M. Teixidor i Bigas, *Maps between moduli spaces of vector bundles and the base locus of the theta divisor* arXiv:0706.3953v1
- [4] G.Hein, *Raynaud’s vector bundles and base points of the generalized theta divisor* arxiv mathAG0607338
- [5] G.Hein, *Raynaud vector bundles*. arXiv:0706.3970v1
- [6] A. Marian and D. Oprea, *The level-rank duality for non-abelian theta functions*. Invent. Math. 168 (2007), no. 2, 225–247.
- [7] M. Popa, *On the base locus of the generalized theta divisor*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math. 329 (1999), no. 6, 507–512
- [8] M. Popa and M. Roth, *Stable maps and Quot schemes*. Invent. Math. 152 (2003), no. 3, 625–663.
- [9] M.Raynaud, *Sections des fibres vectoriels sur une courbe*. Bull. Soc. Math. France 110 (1982), no. 1, 103–125.
- [10] B. Russo and M. Teixidor i Bigas, *On a conjecture of Lange*. J. Algebraic Geom. 8 (1999), no. 3, 483–496.

SEBASTIAN CASALAINA-MARTIN, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ONE OXFORD STREET, CAMBRIDGE MA 02138, USA

E-mail address: casa@math.harvard.edu

TAWANDA GWENA, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, TUFTS UNIVERSITY, MEDFORD MA 02155, USA

E-mail address: tawanda.gwena@tufts.edu

MONTSERRAT TEIXIDOR I BIGAS, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, TUFTS UNIVERSITY, MEDFORD MA 02155, USA

E-mail address: montserrat.teixidoribigas@tufts.edu